Examiner-Initiated Interview Summa		Application No.	Applicant(s	s)
	nan/	09/029,425	MULLER, F	MULLER, RUDOLF
Lammer-milated interview Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jermie Cozart	3726	
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u>				
(1) <u>Jermie Cozart</u> .		(3)		
(2) <u>Greg DeGrazia</u> .		(4)		
Date of Interview: 7 February 2005		Time: <u>9:40AM</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	☐ Applica	ant's representative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed:				
Prior art documents discussed:				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	HE GENE	RAL NATURE OF WHA	AT WAS DISCUSSE	ED:
Part III.				
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a solution directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a solution of all issues. A brief 	cation. Th separate	e examiner will provide record of the substance	a written summary e of the interview, si	of the substance ince the interview
•			,	
			•	
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applican	/Applicant's Represent	ative Signature – if	appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During a telephone conversation with Greg DeGrazia, the Examiner informed Mr. DeGrazia that no response had been received in response to the restriction requirement mailed 8/5/04. Mr. DeGrazia informed the Examiner that the application had been transferred to another law firm.